

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/047,973	01	/15/2002	Jun Nagasawa	S004-4521	5925	
40627	7590	12/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ADAMS &	_		QUELER, ADAM M			
17 BATTERY PLACE SUITE 1231				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10004			2178			
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/047,973	NAGASAWA, JUN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Adam M. Queler	2178				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	November 20 <u>06</u> .					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 11-26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 and 11-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration.  for election requirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 August 2005</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the principle application from the International Buret * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/02) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 10/047,973 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment filed 11/20/2006

2. Claims 1-8, and 11-26 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 13, and 24are independent claims.

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/20/2006 has been entered.

#### **Priority**

4. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in JAPAN on 01/24/2001. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 2001-016297 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 11 and 12 recite the limitation "the printing items". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,973 Page 3

Art Unit: 2178

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-8 and 11-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art, and further in view of Winer (US005796401A, published 8/18/1998), and further in view of Okazaki et al. (US007079177B2, 7/18/2006). Kelman et al (US006850896B1, 2/1/2005) is cited as evidence regarding drag-and-drop technology.

Regarding independent claim(s) 1, 24, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art teaches a display means (Fig. 3). The display has an image displaying area for displaying a report of analyses results (Fig. 1, 1) and an item displaying area for displaying a list of items corresponding to the analysis conditions configured to be arranged in the image displaying area (Fig. 1, 2).

Applicant's Admitted Prior Art does not explicitly disclose grouping the added items. Winer discloses group editing of the items (col. 3, ll. 13-17). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and Winer in order to eliminate the time consuming task of editing items one at a time (Winer, col. 2, ll. 40-50). This would have added group editing means for performing group editing of items in the same group to the display means of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art.

Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and Winer do not disclose using drag-and-drop to create a group. Okazaki teaches enabling a user to drag-and-drop an item (member) from one area

(group) to a desired position within another area (group) so that the selected item is the second area, and attaching the dropped item (user) to the end of an existing item (other user in the group box) and recognizing both items as belonging to the same group when the existing item is at the same position as the dropped item (Fig. 40, col. 12, ll. 21-33). This teaching would be exemplified by moving "user2" into "Group1" (Fig. 40). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the drag-and-drop grouping of Okazaki with Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and Winer. This combination would have provided for enabling a user to drag-and-drop an item from the item displaying area of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art to a desired position within the image displaying area of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art, and attached the dropped item to an existing item and having them belong to the same group of Winer. This would have been obvious for the advantages inherent in Okazaki's drag-and-drop, namely that dragging-and-dropping is fast and intuitive. Kelman is cited as evidence of this inherent advantage (col. 13, ll. 1-2).

Regarding independent claim(s) 13, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art teaches a display means (Fig. 3). The display has an image displaying area for displaying a report of sample characteristics (Fig. 1, 1) and an item displaying area for displaying a list of items corresponding to the sample configured to be arranged in the image displaying area (Fig. 1, 2).

Applicant's Admitted Prior Art does not explicitly disclose grouping the added items. Winer discloses group editing of the items (col. 3, ll. 13-17). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and Winer in order to eliminate the time consuming task of editing items one at a time (Winer,

Art Unit: 2178

col. 2, ll. 40-50). This would have added group editing means for performing group editing of items in the same group to the display means of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art.

Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and Winer do not disclose using drag-and-drop to create a group. Okazaki teaches enabling a user to drag-and-drop an item (member) from one area (group) to a desired position within another area (group) so that the selected item is the second area, and attaching the dropped item (user) to the end of an existing item (other user in the group box) and recognizing both items as belonging to the same group when the existing item is at the same position as the dropped item (Fig. 40, col. 12, ll. 21-33). This teaching would be exemplified by moving "user2" into "Group1" (Fig. 40). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the drag-and-drop grouping of Okazaki with Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and Winer. This combination would have provided for enabling a user to drag-and-drop an item from the item displaying area of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art to the image displaying area of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art, and attached the dropped item to an existing item and having them belong to the same group of Winer. This would have been obvious for the advantages inherent in Okazaki's drag-and-drop, namely that dragging-and-dropping is fast and intuitive. Kelman is cited as evidence of this inherent advantage (col. 13, ll. 1-2).

Regarding dependent claim(s) 2, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art does not explicitly disclose group editing. Winer discloses group editing of the items, including character position alignment (col. 3, ll. 18-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and Winer to eliminate the time consuming task of editing items one at a time (Winer, col. 2, ll. 40-50).

Application/Control Number: 10/047,973

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding dependent claim(s) 3, Applicant admits the font was a display attribute to be changed in the prior art (p. 3, line 2). Applicant's Admitted Prior Art does not explicitly disclose group editing. Winer discloses group editing of the items' attributes, including color (col. 8, ll. 27-38). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and Winer to eliminate the time consuming task of editing items one at a time (Winer, col. 2, ll. 40-50). Also, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to group edit the font (a property desired to be changed in Applicant's Admitted Prior Art), rather then editing each item individually in order to save time (Winer, col. 2, ll. 40-50) and extend the improvement to an attribute that it was common place to edit.

Winer does not explicitly disclose fonts. Applicant admits the font was a display attribute to be changed in the prior art (p. 3, line 2).

Regarding dependent claim(s) 4, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art does not explicitly disclose group editing. Winer discloses group editing of the items, including position adjustment (col. 3, ll. 18-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and Winer to eliminate the time consuming task of editing items one at a time (Winer, col. 2, ll. 40-50).

Regarding dependent claim(s) 5-8, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art shoes the listed items include a title and contents (p. 2, ll. 12-14).

Regarding dependent claim(s) 11-12, 25, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art does not explicitly disclose group editing. Winer discloses group editing of the items, including character position alignment (col. 3, ll. 18-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 10/047,973

Art Unit: 2178

time of the invention to combine Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and Winer to eliminate the time consuming task of editing items one at a time (Winer, col. 2, ll. 40-50).

Regarding dependent claim(s) 14, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art shows an analysis report including characteristics of a sample and descriptive parameters (Fig. 3).

Regarding dependent claim(s) 15, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art teaches measurement parameters (Fig. 3).

Regarding dependent claim(s) 16, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art teaches sample names and analysis dates (Fig. 3).

Regarding dependent claim(s) 17, 26, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art teaches a menu bar having a property menu (p.3, ll1-4).

Regarding dependent claim(s) 18, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art teaches font and color (p. 3, line 2).

Regarding dependent claim(s) 19, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art does not specifically mention alignment. Winer teaches menu in a menu bar to change display attributes, including alignment (Fig. 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and Winer in order to provide an interface for changing attributes (Winer, col. 5, ll. 35-40).

Regarding dependent claim(s) 20, 22, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art teaches the image displaying area was for a printing image (p.1, ll. 17-21).

Regarding dependent claim(s) 21, 23, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art teaches the areas are disposed adjacently (Fig. 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/047,973 Page 8

Art Unit: 2178

## Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 and 11-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam M. Queler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4140. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Hong can be reached on (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

aq